

Welcome to CLIANTHA RESEARCH

Research Delivered With Integrity

YEARS OF EXCELLENCE IN RESEARCH

Presentation Overview

- This presentation was made by Dr. Salapatek at a Cliantha Research symposium on Respiratory Drug Development in Mumbai, India in November 2018.
- It highlights the requirements for generic inhaled product development, the potential pitfalls and how cost and time efficiencies can be gained by conducting trials in both North America and India.
- This symposium was attended by Inhalation product developers from across India.
- Dr. Salapatek is the Chief Scientific Officer for Cliantha Research and has over 20 years experience and expertise in respiratory drug development.



Pharmacodynamic Studies for Inhaled Products : The North American Experience & a Hybrid Approach

Dr Anne Marie Salapatek MSc, PhD Executive Vice President & Chief Scientific Officer

Presentation Agenda

- Introduction/Background
- Inflamax experience: Pharma, Generic
- Development of Inhaled products: PK through to PD
 - PK Studies for Inhaled Products in HNV
 - Overall PD Design
- Study Design Considerations
 - Crossover vs Parallel
 - Run-In
 - Blinding
 - Quality Outcomes: Training & Standardization
- Experience: PD studies in North America, Case Studies
 - The Hybrid Approach: PD studies in India & North America

Nasal Sprays: Allergic & Non-Allergic Rhinitis

cliantha research

Generic name	Trade Name	Manufacturer	Patentexpiration			
	Nasal Cortic	osteroids				
Fluticasone propionate	Flonase	Glaxo SmithKline, generics	34 			
Mometasone	Nasonex	Merck & Co.	2014-2018			
Flunisolide	-	Generics	(iii)			
Beclomethasone	Beconase AQ	GlaxoSmithKline	-			
Budesonide	RhinocortAqua	AstraZeneca	2017			
Ciclesonide	Omnaris	Sunovion	2017-2020			
Fluticasone furoate	Veramyst	GlaxoSmithKline	2021			
Triamcinolone	NasacortAQ	Sanofi-Aventis	2016			
	Nasal Anticholinergi	& Antihistamines				
Azelastine 0.1%	Astelin	MEDA Pharma, generic	-			
Azelastine 0.15% with sucrose	Astepro	MEDA Pharma	Excl to Aug 2012			
Ipratropium bromide	Atrovent nasal spray	Boehringer-Ingelheim, generics	54 I			
Olopatadine HCL	Patanase	Alcon	Jun 2011, Excl to 201			

Nasal Allergy - Drugs in the Class





Developing Generic Or New FDC Nasal Sprays

Case Study: New Fixed Dose Combination – A 505b2 Approach



Case Study: Nasal Spray 505b2 Approach

- New Fixed Dose Combination: Mometasone + Olopatadine (MOLO)
- Efficacy: MCFB Total Nasal Symptom Score (TNSS); Doseranging; Onset & Duration of Action
- Ernt Vev



- Population: Allergic Rhinitis Ragweed Allergy
- Blinding Masking
- Utilizing Environmental Exposure Chamber (EEC)
- MCFB Total Nasal Symptom Score (TNSS)



Results

cliantha research

- Hybrid design of dosing in house and EEC onset of action and efficacy after one dose.
- Dosing at-home for 2 weeks and return to the EEC.
- Showed benefit over comparators.
- NDA approval

Press Release – For Immediate Release

Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Announces FDA Acceptance of the Company's First New Drug Application for Ryaltris™ for Patients with Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis

The Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) target action date for completion of the FDA review is March 21, 2019

Ryaltris (olopatadine hydrochloride [665 mcg] and mometasone furoate [25 mcg]), formerly GSP 301 Nasal Spray, is the company's leading respiratory pipeline asset

Mahwah, NJ; August 7, 2018 – Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, a global pharmaceutical company, today announced that the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) has accepted for review the company's New Drug Application for its leading respiratory pipeline candidate Ryaltris™ (rye - al' - tris), an investigational fixed-dose combination nasal spray of an antihistamine and a steroid, as a treatment for seasonal aliregic inhinits (SAR) in patients 12 years of age and older. Ryaltris (olopatadine hydrochoride (665 mog) and mometasone furoate [25 mog]), formerly GSP 301 Nasal Spray, has been conditionally accepted by the FDA as the brand name.



Fast Onset of Action: Dymysta



- Dymista Fluticasone + Azelastine n.s.
- 3-way crossover, placebo controlled trial conducted in the EEC
- Showed the fastest OOA: 5min with ePRO





Developing Generic Inhaled Products



Inhalers: Asthma & COPD



FDA Guidance for Generic Anticholinergics

Popula

Product

Class of Drug

eric Anticholinergicscliantha
research1º EndpointStudy
Design1º AnalysisDuration of
Dosing

		tion		Design		Dosing
Tiotropium Bromide (DPI)	Anticholinergics	COPD	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-24h) after treatment.	Single Dose (2 inhalations)
Ipratropium Bromide (MDI)	Anticholinergics	COPD	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-6h) after treatment.	Single Dose (2 inhalations)
Aclidinium Bromide (DPI)	Anticholinergics	COPD	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-6h) after treatment.	Single Dose (1 inhalation)
Ipratropium Bromide & Albuterol Sulfate (MDI)	Anticholinergics & SABA	COPD	FEV1	Parallel (Test/Ipratropi um/ Albuterol)	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-8h) after treatment.	Single Dose- 12 weeks

FDA Guidance for: Inhaled Short & Long Acting Bronchodilators (β agonists)

Product	Drug Class	Popula -tion	1º End point	Study Design	1º Analysis	Duration of Dosing		
Albuterol Sulfate (MDI)	SABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Crossover Bronchoprovocation or Bronchodilatation Study	Post-dose PC20 or PD20 (Provoactive conc or dose)	Single Dose – 24hr washout period		
Salmeterol Xinafoate (DPI)	LABA	Asthmatics	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-12h) after treatment.	Single Dose (1 inhalation)		
Formoterol Fumarate (DPI)	LABA	Asthmatics	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-12h) after treatment.	Single Dose (1 inhalation)		
Levalbuterol Tartrate (MDI)	SABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Crossover Bronchoprovocation Study	Post-dose PC20 or PD20 (Provoactive conc or dose)	Single Dose – 24hr washout period		
Indacaterol maleate (DPI)	LABA	COPD	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel/ Crossover	Serial FEV1 (AUC0-24h) after treatment.	Single Dose (1 inhalation)		

cliantha

rese

FDA Guidance for: Inhaled Corticosteroids/ Combo Medications Inhalers

Product	Class of	Population	1ry	Study Design	1ry Analysis	Duration of Dosing
	Drug		Endpoint			
Fluticasone propionate (nasal spray)	ICS	SAR	TNSS	Parallel (multicenter recommended)	MCFB through the treatment period	Dose: once daily for 14-days
Budesonide (DPI)	ICS	Asthmatics	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel	FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day	Dose: 4-inhalations twice daily for 4-weeks
Beclomethasone dipropionate	ICS	Asthmatics	FEV1	Parallel	FEV1 AM PEF Asthma symptoms	Dose: twice daily
Mometasone furoate (DPI)	ICS	Asthmatics	FEV1 (T/R Ratio)	Parallel	FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day	Dose: 2-inhalations once daily for 4-weeks twice daily for 4- weeks
Fluticasone Propionate & Salmeterol (DPI)	ICS & LABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Parallel	a) serial FEV1 (AUC0-24h) after first dose to assess salmeterol component and b) FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day to assess fluticasone	Dose: twice daily for 4-weeks
Fluticasone Furoate & Vilanterol (DPI)	ICS & SABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Parallel	a) serial FEV1 (AUC0-24h) after first dose to assess salmeterol component and b) FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day to assess fluticasone	Dose: 1-inhalation once daily for 4-weeks
Mometasone furoate & Formoterol fumarate (MDI)	ICS & LABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Parallel	a) serial FEV1 (AUC0-12h) after first dose to assess salmeterol component and b) FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day to assess fluticasone	Dose: 2-inhalations twice daily for 4-weeks
Budesonide & Formoterol Fumarate dehydrate (MDI)	ICS & LABA	Asthmatics	FEV1	Parallel	a) serial FEV1 (AUC0-12h) after first dose to assess salmeterol component and b) FEV1 prior to dosing on the last day to assess fluticasone	Dose: 2-inhalations twice daily for 6-weeks

Considerations: Pharmacokinetic Studies for Inhaled Products

- Healthy Normal Volunteers (HNV)
 - By definition are not used to using inhalers or performing any lung function testing.
 - Require training to achieve the following:
 - Adequate dosing e.g. use In-check Dial G16 trainer
 - Consistent dosing in 'green' good, 'red' failed
 - Clients come to retest their products in our experienced clinic
- Important for good results also require:
 - Experienced Staff for patient coaching/training
 - Experienced Staff for fast and quality blood draws and sample handling and preparation → laboratory analysis.
 - Good chain of custody for samples





cliantha research

Training



- For pMDI
 - Attachments can be added to simulate the appropriate resistances

How to use Adapters Setting '0' pMDI

Specific adapters are available for the following devices:-

- Turbohaler
- Promedica
- HandiHaler
- Diskhaler 4 Blister
- Clickhaler
- Easyhaler
- Autohaler/Accuhaler/Discus
- Easybreath
- Foradil
- Accuhaler/Discus
- Easybreath-Breezhaler/Foradil
- Grenuair
- Evohaler freeflow setting



Design Considerations: FEV1 Measures & Profiles Cliantha

- ICS
 - ≥ 4 week treatment
- SABA
 - After bronchodilation Serial FEV1s after 1 dose
 - Bronchoprotection/broncoprovocation FEV1 after Tx with SABA and looking at the PC20
- Anticholinergics: Tiotropium
 - Crossover or Parallel: Serial FEV1 after 1 dose
- Combination Products: Advair[©], Symbicort[©]
 - $-\,$ Fast Acting Component (β agonist) measured after 1 dose: Serial FEV1s $-\,$ Area Under the Curve (AUC)
 - Slow Acting Component (ICS) measured after a long term dose, 4 or 6 weeks, FEV1 at clinic

Overall Study Design: Inhaled Products



clíantha

resear

Design Considerations: Crossover vs Parallel

cliantha[®] research

- General: SABA, LABA & Anticholinergics allow X-over
- Fluticasone + Albuterol
- FDA permission though not in guidance
- Safety cover ePDAT, monitoring
- PROS:
 - Fewer patients
 - Overall faster
- CONS:
 - Longer duration for each patient need to assure safety
 - Risk of patient withdrawal greater

5. STUDY FLOW CHART



Txt. Treatment FE V: Forced expiratory volume

Considerations: Screening & Placebo Run-In



- Population Asthmatics, COPD: Severity, Current Tx → Recruitment
 - Screening: Medical History, Pulmonary Function Testing including reversibility to confirm diagnosis and demonstrate that there can be improvement potential for Test drug testing. E.g. Tiotropium Study – need patient to show reversibility
- Placebo Run-In:
 - Washout of current medications that would interfere with testing of Reference vs Test vs Placebo
 - Need to demonstrate Stability and Safety to be studied throughout the course of the trial even when or if on placebo, i.e. during crossover period
 or randomly assigned in a parallel design.

Considerations: Product Blinding



- Products must be identical in dosage and performance
 - Devices that are not identical in appearance leads to unblinding of patient and Investigator.
 - Dry Powder Inhalers (DPIs) particularly difficult:
 - Patents on DPIs
 - Jurisdictional differences in DPIs
- Approaches:
 - Over-masking where possible e.g. foils, clam shells for nasal sprays
 - Partial Double Dummy Designs, a part solution:
 - Only partially blinded: e.g. Test product DPI has active and placebo but Reference product still identifiable even if Reference with Active and Placebo are both tested.
 - Evaluator, Investigator and other analysis staff to remain blinded and patient to have limited access to products (single dose studies).

Considerations: Respiratory Measures

- Experienced & Trained Staff are vital
- Experienced Respiratory Technicians
- PFT Capabilities for clinical research with extensive experience in:
 - Full PFT, DLCO, Volumes including body box
 - FeNO
 - Challenge procedures: Methacholine, Histamine, Ozone, Adenosine, Exercise, Cold Air
 - Biomarker measurement including Induced Sputum, Exhaled breath condensate and BAL procedures
 - Though not required endpoints demonstrate ability to well characterize or phenotype patients



Spirometry

- For example:
 - Team of Registered Respiratory Therapists to perform Pulmonary Function Testing (PFT)
 - The College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario (CRTO) is the governing body that regulates Respiratory Therapy in Ontario
- Able to have patients to perform reproducible Spirometry according to American Thoracic Society and the European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) Guidelines.
- Consistent evaluators
- Full lung function including lung volumes and transfer factors, as well as differential exhaled nitric oxide
- Spiroair the 'Gold Standard' PFT testing



Training & Standardization



Considerations: Standardization



clianthe

FIGURE 1. Spirometry standardisation steps.

Considerations: Training

cliantha[®] research

- Experience
- Coaching
- Interpretation
 - No testing into compliance
 - Within-manoeuvre criteria of acceptability
 - Between-manoeuvre criteria of acceptability
 - Reference values & interpretation
- ATS: Recommendations for Standardized Pulmonary Function Report 2017: Recommend Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI)-2012 multiethnic spirometry reference values for NA and elsewhere

TABLE 5 Summary of within- and between-manoeuvre acceptability criteria

Within-manoeuvre criteria

Individual spirograms are "acceptable" if They are free from artefacts [3] Cough during the first second of exhalation Glottis closure that influences the measurement Early termination or cut-off Effort that is not maximal throughout Leak Obstructed mouthpiece They have good starts Extrapolated volume <5% of FVC or 0.15 L, whichever is greater They show satisfactory exhalation Duration of ≥ 6 s (3 s for children) or a plateau in the volume-time curve or If the subject cannot or should not continue to exhale Between-manoeuvre criteria After three acceptable spirograms have been obtained, apply the following tests The two largest values of FVC must be within 0.150 L of each other The two largest values of FEV1 must be within 0.150 L of each other If both of these criteria are met, the test session may be concluded If both of these criteria are not met, continue testing until Both of the criteria are met with analysis of additional acceptable spirograms or A total of eight tests have been performed (optional) or The patient/subject cannot or should not continue Save, as a minimum, the three satisfactory manoeuvres

FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second.





Its All in the Coaching!!!



An Introduction to Spirometry

clíantha research

• Spirometry Performance Issues

HOW TO CORRECT TEST ERRORS



Respiratory Service Providers

- Provide equipment
 - Allows for consistency of measures
- Provide Training
 - Overview if lung function testing
 - Lung function testing guidelines and considerations
 - How to identify good/bad test sessions
 - Online video training
- Over-reading
 - Over-readers review the test results, confirm that the tests have been performed correctly and that they meet relevant guidelines to help ensure quality data is submitted.





PD Studies in North America and india



Pharma & Generics Experience

Innovator Products

- Schering Plough: Mometasone nasal and inhaled
 - Dose ranging study of nasal mometasone
 - Phase II study with Mometasone inhaled
- Meda now Mylan
 - Dymista nasal spray
- Genentech: Xolair
 - Pivotal studies with Severe adult asthma
 - Cat room challenge study with Xolair in severe asthma
- GSK
 - Veramyst Fluticasone furoate
 - Advair
 - Alcon: Olopatadine
 - Multiple EEC studies

Generic or 505B2 Products

- Olopatadine + Mometasone nasal spray
- Fluticasone + Salmeterol inhaled
- Budesonide + Formoterol inhaled
- Mometasone Furoate, nasal spray
- Albuterol inhaled
- Tiotropium
- Other new formulations or devices for steroids – 505b2 approach

Challenges of NA Only

- Recruitment
 - Slow and require many Investigators Allergy & Asthma Foundation of America
 - Advisory Board only CRO member, recruit through this membership over 50,000 online members patients and caregivers
 - Treatment level of patients is high → Washout of treatment requires time and good patient management
 - Costs are high, cost over-runs
- Monitoring
 - Ensure patient population's safety and is appropriate, remote monitoring
 - Knowledgeable in the subject matter area

Case Study: Albuterol Bronchoprovocation Study Advantage to NA – Canada only



Pharmacodynamic (PD) BE Study *Recommended Apr 2013; Revised Jun 2013; Dec 2016* 4

- 7a. Type of Study: Bronchoprovocation study
- Design: Single-dose, double-blind, double dummy, randomized, crossover study that is recommended at minimum to consist of:
- Zero dose: One actuation each from two different placebo R inhalation aerosols and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation aerosols
- 0.09 mg of R: One actuation each from the R inhalation aerosol and the placebo R inhalation aerosol and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation aerosols
- 0.18 mg of R: One actuation each from two different R inhalation aerosol and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation aerosols
- 0.09 mg of T: One actuation each from the T inhalation aerosol and the placebo T inhalation aerosol and one actuation each from two different placebo R inhalation aerosols
- No less than a 24 hour washout period should be allotted between treatments.







Study Design contd.

- cliantha[®] research
- **PD endpoint(s):** Post-dose PC20 or PD20, which are the provocative concentration or dose, respectively, of the methacholine challenge agent required to reduce the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) by 20% following administration of differing doses of albuterol (or placebo) by inhalation. The 20% reduction in FEV1 is determined relative to the saline FEV1 measured before the placebo or albuterol administration.
- Equivalence based on: Dose-scale analysis of the PD data. The 90% confidence intervals for the relative bioavailability (F) should fall within 67.00-150.00% to establish equivalence in the PD study.

Albuterol PD

- Bronchoprovocation Approach
- Experience MCh challenge, RRT, Respirologists
- Dr. Patel publications to develop this model
 - Newhouse MT, Patel P, Parry-Billings M. Protection against methacholine-induced bronchospasm: salbutamol pMDI versus Clickhaler DPI. *Eur Respir J* 2003;21:816-820.
- BIO-IND not needed in Canada MCh provider reach doses required
- Team experienced to standardize training of sites
- Standardize equipment and procedures
- Ventilated facilities for MCh studies

Jurisdiction: Canada

cliantha[®] research



18 sites All in Canada

One jurisdiction – One regulatory filing – 30d

Conducted by In-House Regulatory team

Standardize sites with training

- Equipment nebulizers
- Methacholine preparation
- MCh Challenge

Experienced sites

Sources of Variability



- MCh challenge studies are difficult to standardize
- Dependent on Respiratory Therapist interpretation variability
 - Keep the same evaluator for each patient
- MCh induction tidal breathing vs dosimeter keep the same for all sites.



PD Study Design in North America & India

- FDA open to multi-jurisdiction studies with NA
- Cliantha unique capability across one organization to provide CRO and clinic services in both NA & India.





Potential Concerns To Overcome



- Patient populations in NA and India similar?
- Poor quality of measures and inability to standardize measures
- Regulatory landscape
- Global trials are difficult to coordinate due to the following:
 - Working across different organizations with different practices and SOPs
 - Time differences
- Right sized CRO



Organization of Services

cliantha[®] research

CRO Services:

- Global Project Director with <u>Scientific and Medical Oversight</u>
- Project Manager in India
- Project Manager in North America
- Each Jurisdiction PM has a full team to support activities including Project Associates, Start-Up team specialists
- Lead Monitor in North America
- Lead Monitor in India
- Monitors provided according to the number of sites and locations
- Investigator site ratio: 50% India & 50% in North America

Clinical Sites:

- Include 'Super Clinic Site' Outpatient Clinics with experience in respiratory clinical research
 - Act as a site like any other and for this reason are behind a 'fire wall'

Case Study: Inhaled Product 505B2-



- ICS inhaler Device is novel
- Partial Blind
- Not identical, 505B2 approach
- FDA discussions need to run 2 studies Phase III approximately 500 patients per study
- PK subset in one of the studies
- Run the study in US and India
- Overall Global Management \rightarrow jurisdiction program manager



Inhaled product: Management Team



cliantha

NA Clinics act as Super Sites

- High Throughput Screening
- Standardization across sites



Timelines: Example



		20	18								2019							2020			
Month	SEP	ост	νον	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	NNr	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	NAL	FEB	MAR	APR	
Approval, Quote & Contract																					
Protocol, ICF & Regulatory Submissions & Approvals																					
Feasibility/Site Selection/ Site Initiations			1																		
DB Buildup/Advertising/ Subject Recruitment																					
FPI - LPI																					
LPI - LPO																					
Database Lock																					
Topline Results																					
Study Report																					
Site Close Outs/All Documents Returned																					

Advantages

- Fully integrated Approach to Global Clinical Respiratory Trials
- Higher Quality Outcomes
- Recruitment advantages
- Regulatory ready deliverables
- Regulatory audit ready
- Cost Efficiencies
- Time Efficiencies



Conclusions

- The importance of engaging a CRO with therapeutic experience and scientific leadership in respiratory clinical research to understand the key factors in study design to make your study a success.
- Importance of operational attention to detail to obtain the high quality results required for regulatory approval.
- The cost and time efficiencies from global studies in NA and India with experienced CROs like Cliantha.

Staff of Cliantha North America: Respiratory

• Our Research Professionals and Experts make all of our great work possible – thank you!

cliant

resear



Regulatory Track Record

cliantha research



